Grounded Instructional Strategies

Grounded instructional strategies are rooted in established theories of and research on human learning. They form the basis for designing and sequencing meaningful e-learning interactions and for creating online, hybrid and conventional classroom learning environments. Table 1 outlines the primary instructional events associated with published instructional strategies that are grounded and grouped according to major classes of learning theories.

Table 1. Primary events associated with grounded instructional strat	egies
--	-------

	-
Constructivist (Learner-Centered) Approache	es

Experiential Learning (Pfeiffer & Jones, 1975) 1. Experience 2. Publish 3. Process 4. Internalize 5. Generalize 6. Apply	Experiential Learning Model (Kolb, 1984) 1. Concrete Experience 2. Reflective Observation 3. Abstract Conceptualization 4. Active Experimentation	Guided Experiential Learning (Clark, 2004) 1. Goals 2. Reasons and Activation 3. Demonstration 4. Application 5. Integration 6. Assessment
Learning by Doing (Schank, Berman & Macpherson, 1999) 1. Define Goals 2. Set Mission 3. Present Cover Story 4. Establish Roles 5. Operate Scenarios 6. Provide Resources 7. Provide Feedback	Problem-Based Learning (Barrows, 1985; Boud & Feletti, 1997) 1. Start New Class 2. Start a New Problem 3. Problem Follow-Up 4. Performance Presentation(s) 5. After Conclusion of Problem	Collaborative Problem-Solving (Nelson, 1999) 1. Build Readiness 2. Form and Norm Groups 3. Determine Preliminary Problem 4. Define and Assign Roles 5. Engage in Problem-Solving 6. Finalize Solution 7. Synthesize and Reflect 8. Assess Products and Processes 9. Provide Closure
BSCS 5E Model (BSCS, 2005; Bybee, 2002) 1. Engage 2. Explore 3. Explain 4. Elaborate 5. Evaluate	WebQuest (Dodge, 1998) 1. Introduction 2. Task 3. Process 4. Resources 5. Evaluation 6. Conclusion	Case-Based Reasoning (Aamodt & Plaza, 1994) 1. Present New Case 2. Retrieve Similar Cases 3. Reuse Information 4. Revise Proposed Solution 5. Retain Useful Experiences
Simulation Model (Joyce, Weil, & Showers, 1992) 1. Orientation 2. Participant Training 3. Simulation Operations 4. Participant Debriefing 5. Appraise and redesign the simulation	Inquiry Training (Joyce, Weil, & Showers, 1992) 1. Confrontation with the Problem 2. Data Verification 3. Data Experimentation 4. Organizing, Formulating and Explanation 5. Analysis of inquiry process	Inductive Thinking (Taba, 1967) 1. Concept Formation 2. Interpretation of Data 3. Application of Principles

Constructivist (Learner-Centered) Approaches (con't)				
Jurisprudential Inquiry (Oliver & Shaver, 1971) 1. Orientation to the Case 2. Identifying the Issues 3. Taking Positions 4. Exploring the Stance(s) 5. Refining and Qualifying the Positions 6. Testing Factual Assumptions Behind Qualified Positions	Scaffolded Vee Diagram (Crippen, Archambault, & Kern, in press) 1. Big Problem 2. Initial Ideas 3. Concept Map 4. Analysis and Artifacts 5. Claims 6. Expert Opinion 7. Reflection	Historical Inquiry (Waring, 2011) 1. A Hook 2. Identify Fundamental Questions 3. Engage in Primary and Secondary Sources 4. Recognize Multiple Perspectives and Historic Causation 5. Create Plausible Narratives 6. Assess Skills, Knowledge and Attitudes 7. Reflect on Experience		
Adaptive Instructional Design (Schwartz, Lin, Brophy & Bransford, 1992) 1. Look Ahead & Reflect Back 2. Present Initial Challenge 3. Generate Ideas 4. Present Multiple Perspectives 5. Research and Revise 6. Test Your Mettle 7. Go Public 8. Progressive Deepening 9. General Reflection and Decisions 10. Assessment	Eight Events of Student-Centered Learning (Hirumi, 2002, 1998, 1996) 1. Set Learning Challenge 2. Negotiate Goals and Objectives 3. Negotiate Learning Strategy 4. Construct Knowledge 5. Negotiate Performance Criteria 6. Assess Learning 7. Provide Feedback (Steps 1-6) 8. Communicate Results	Constructivist Learning (Jonassen, 1999) 1. Select Problem 2. Provide Related Case 3. Provide Information 4. Provide Cognitive Tools 5. Provide Conversation Tools 6. Provide Social Support		
Behavioral & Cognitive Information P Nine Events of Instruction (Gagne, 1977, 1974) 1. Gain Attention 2. Inform Learner of Objective(s) 3. Recall Prior Knowledge 4. Present Stimulus Materials 5. Provide Learning Guidance 6. Elicit Performance 7. Provide Feedback 8. Assess Performance 9. Enhance Retention and Transfer	 Processing (Teacher-Directed) Approach 5 Component Lesson Model (Dick, Carey, & Carey, 2009) Pre-Instructional Activities Content Presentation and Learning Guidance Learner Participation Assessment Follow Through Activities 	Elements of Lesson Design (Hunter, 1990) 1. Anticipatory Set 2. Objective and Purpose 3. Input 4. Modeling 5. Check for Understanding 6. Guided Practice 7. Independent Practice		
Direct Instruction (Joyce, Weil, & Showers, 1992) 1. Orientation 2. Presentation 3. Structured Practice 4. Guided Practice 5. Independent Practice				

Table 1 (con't). Primary events associated with grounded instructional strategies

Ne	Neuro-Biological Approaches					
1. 2. 3.	Principles of Natural Learning (Caine, Caine, McClintic & Klimek, 2005; Caine & Caine, 1997) Relaxed Alertness a. Challenge enhances, threat inhibits learning. b. Social brain/mind c. Innate search for meaning d. Emotions are critical to patterning Orchestrated Immersion a. The brain processes parts and whole b. All learning engages the physiology. c. Meaning occurs through patterning d. Learning is developmental Active Processing a. Two types of memory: Declarative and Procedural. b. Learning involves both focused attention and peripheral perception. c. Learning is both conscious and unconscious.	 Brain-Based Teaching (Jensen, 2005) Malleable memories Non-conscious experience runs automated behaviors Reward and addiction dependency Attentional limitations Brain seeks and creates understanding Rough drafts/Gist learning Input limitations Perception influences our experience Malleablity/Neural plasticity Emotional-Physical state dependency 	Interplay Strategy (Hirumi & Stapleton, in press; Stapleton & Hirumi, 2011; Hirumi, Atkinson & Stapleton, 2011) 1. Expose 2. Inquire 3. Discover 4. Create 5. Experiment 6. Share			
	u. Each brain is uniquely organized.					
Al	Alternative Approaches					
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	4Mat System (McCarthy, 1987) Create an experience Reflect/Analyze experience Integrate reflective analysis Develop concepts/skills Practice defined "givens" Practice adding something Analyze application Apply to new experience	SQR (Maier, 1990) 1. Summarize 2. Question 3. Response	SQ3R (Robinson, 1961) 1. Survey 2. Question 3. Read 4. Recite 5. Review			

References

Aamodt, A. & Plaza, E. (1994). Case-Based Reasoning: Foundational Issues, Methodological Variations, and Systems Approaches. *Artificial Intelligence Communications*, 7(1), 39-59. Retrieved March 15, 2005 from the World Wide Web: http://www.lai-cbr.org/theindex.html.

Barrows, H. S. (1985). *How to design a problem based curriculum for the preclinical years*. New York: Springer Publishing Co.

Boud, D., & Feletti, G. (1997). *The Challenge of Problem-Based Learning* (2nd ed.). London, Kogan Page Limited.

BSCS Center for Professional Development (2005). *Learning Theory and the BSCS 5E Instructional Model.* Retrieved November15, 2005 form <u>http://www.bscs.org/library/</u> handoutlearningtheory5E.pdf

Bybee, R. W. (2002). Scientific inquiry, student learning, and the science curriculum. In R. W. Bybee (Ed.). *Learning Science and the Science of Learning* (pp. 25-36). Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.

Caine, R. N., & Caine, J. (1997). *Education on the Edge of Possibility*. Alexandria VA: Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.

Caine, R. N., Canine, J., McClintic, C. & Klimek, K. (2005). *12 Brain/Mind Learning Principles in Action: The fieldbook for making connections, teaching, and the human brain.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Clark, R. E. (2004). *Design Document for a Guided Experiential Learning Course*. Submitted to satisfy contract DAAD 19-99-D-0046-0004 from TRADOC to the Institute for Creative Technologies and the Rossier School of Education, University of Southern California.

Crippen, K. J., Archambault, L., & Kern, C. (in press). Using Scaffolded Vee Diagrams to Enact Inquiry-Based Learning. In A. Hirumi (Ed.). *Grounded Designs for Online and Hybrid Learning: Practical Guidelines for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Eugene, WA: International Society for Technology in Education.

Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2009). *The Systematic Design of Instruction* (7th edition), Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Dodge, B. (1998). *The WebQuest Page*. Retrieved April 3, 2000 from the World Wide Web: http://edweb.sdsu.edu/webquest/webquest.html

Gagne, R.M. (1977). *The Conditions of Learning* (3rd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

Gagne, R.M. (1974). Principles of Instructional Design. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Gagne, R.M., & Medsker, K.L. (1996). *The conditions of learning: Training applications*. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.

Hirumi, A. (2002). Student-centered, technology-rich, learning environments (SCenTRLE): Operationalizing constructivist approaches to teaching and learning. *Journal for Technology and Teacher Education*, *10*(4), 497-537.

Hirumi, A. (1998, March). *The Systematic Design of Student-Centered, Technology-Rich Learning Environments*. Invited guest presentation given at the first Education Graduate Students and Academic Staff Regional Meeting, Guadalajara, Mexico.

Hirumi, A. (1996, February). *Student-Centered, Technology-Rich Learning environments: A cognitive-constructivist approach*. Concurrent session held at the Association for Educational Communication and Technology Conference, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Hirumi, A. & Stapleton, C. (in press). Designing Inter*PLAY* Learning Landscapes to Evoke Emotions, Spark the Imagination, and Foster Creative Problem Solving. In A. Hirumi (Ed.). *Grounded Designs for Online and Hybrid Learning: Practical Guidelines for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Eugene, WA: International Society for Technology in Education.

Hirumi, A., Atkinson, T., Stapleton, C. (2011). *Interplay: Evoking Emotions andSparking Imagination through Story, Play and Game*. Concurrent Session presented the annual Association for Educational Communication and Technology conference, Jacksonville, FL. Nov. 8-12.

Hunter, M. (1990). Lesson Design Helps Achieve the Goals of Science Instruction. *Educational Leadership*. 48(4), 79-81.

Jonassen, D. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.). Instructional Design Theories and Models: Vol. 2. A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory (pp. 215-239). Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Jensen, E. (2005). *Teaching with the brain in mind*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Showers, B. (1992). *Models of Teaching* (4th ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Knaggs, C. M., & Schneider, R. M. (2011). Thinking Like a Scientist: Using Vee-Maps to Understand Process and Concepts in Science. *Research in Science Education*.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Maier, J.N. (1990). *SQR: Summary, Question, Respond, A discussion strategy for developing critical thinking.* Unpublished curriculum development.

McCarthy, B. (1987). *The 4MAT System: Teaching to Learning Styles with Right/Left Mode Techniques*. Barrington, Ill.: Excel, Inc.

Nelson, L. (1999). Collaborative Problem-Solving. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.). *Instructional Design Theories and Models: Vol. 2. A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory (pp. 241-267)*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Oliver, D., & Shaver, J. (1971). *Cases and Controversy: A Guide to Teaching the Public Issues Series*. Middletown, CT: American Education Publishers.

Pfeiffer, J.W., & Jones, J.E. (1975) Introduction to the structured experiences section. In J.E. Jones & J.W. Pfeiffer (Eds.). *The 1975 annual handbook for group facilitators*. La Jolla, CA: University Associates.

Schwartz, Lin, Brophy, S., & Bransford, J. D. (1992). Toward the development of flexibly adaptive instructional designs. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed). *Instructional Design Theories and Models: Vol. 2. A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory (pp. 183-213)*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Shank, R. C., Berman, T. R., & Macpherson, K. A. (1992). Learning by doing. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed). *Instructional Design Theories and Models: A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory (pp. 161-179)*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Stapleton, C. & Hirumi, A. (2011). Interplay instructional strategy: Learning by engaging interactive entertainment conventions. In M. Shaughnessy & S. Fulgham (eds). *Pedagogical Models: The Discipline of Online Teaching* (pp. 183-211). Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.

Taba, H. (1967). *Teacher's Handbook for Elementary School Social Studies*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc.

Waring, S. M. (in press). Conducting Authentic Historical Investigations in the Digital Age. In A. Hirumi (Ed.). *Grounded Designs for Online and Hybrid Learning: Practical Guidelines for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Eugene, WA: International Society for Technology in Education.

Waring, S. M. (2011). *Preserving History: The construction of history in the K-16 classroom*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Press.