

- •Acknowledgements:
- •The Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation
- •The Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada
- •Colleagues in the New Rural Economy Project
- •Colleagues in the Institute for Rural Revitalization in the 21st Century

•Thanks for invitation

Canada is BIG • Plenty of space • Few people • Mostly in the southern part





• This map emphasises the diversity of population growth and decline among census divisions in Canada.

Note strong employment growth in northern regions.

• Note (continuing) population declines in the agricultural regions of Saskatchewan and Manitoba.

• Note continuing population declines in parts of the Gaspé region of Québec, northern New Brunswick, the tips of Nova Scotia and in Newfoundland.

• Population continues to grow in census divisions where the workforce can access large cities.



•Canada is Urban

•About 80% of population is in urban areas – and growing

•At the Canada level, and in most provinces, the (census) rural population is increasing.

•In Saskatchewan, the (census) rural population has been decreasing since 1931.

•Within each province, the rural farm population has been declining for decades and the rural <u>non-farm</u> population is increasing.

•(Census rural refers to individuals living outside settlements of 1,000 or more)



•Canada is a trading nation

•The USA is our dominant trading partner

•80% of our exports are to the USA (\$243 million in 1997)

•76% of our imports are from the USA (\$210 million in 1997)

•The next largest trade partner is only 5.5% of imports (European Union)

•Japan next with 4% of our exports and 3% of our imports

•Canada is USA's largest trading partner as well

•27% of USA trade is with Canada

•Mexico is next - 14%

•Result

•What happens in the USA has strong impact on Canada

•Trade policy in Canada is under pressure to accommodate USA interests

Rural policies reflect natural resource policy Pre-1945: Resource exploitation Fish Timber Fur Agriculture Minerals Oil Hydro-electricity

Bill Reimer (10/31/2021) 6

Policy Periods

- 1945 to 1955: Macroeconomic Keynesianism
- 1955-1965: Populism and Regionalism
- 1965-1980: Rise and Fall of Technocratic Planning
- 1980-1995: Rise and Fall of Megaprojects
- 1995-present: Retreat of the State

Bill Remer (10/31/2021)7

Rural policies reflect natural resource policy

- •Pre-1945: Resource exploitation
- •1945 to 1955: Macroeconomic Keynesianism

•Growth led by state spending

- •Public planners creating conditions to make private-sector growth more efficient
- •Undisciplined subsidies for resource industries
- •1955-1965: Populism and Regionalism

•Regional disparities

•Many programs in infrastructure development

•ARDA, 1961 (Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act)– Agricultural focus

•FRED, 1966 (Fund for Rural conomic Development) – regional focus

•1965-1980: Rise and Fall of Technocratic Planning

•Focused, centralized, and institutionalized planning

•DREE, 1969 (Department of Regional Economic Expansion) – integrated previous programs, shifted focus

•Regional focus – growth-pole theory (regional urban and industrial development)

Top-down approach

•1980-1995: Rise and Fall of Megaprojects

•Megaprojects as economic (regional development)

Criticisms

•First real rural development project (Community Futures)

•Small-scale, gradual, decentralized

•1995-present: Retreat of the State

•Fiscal crisis, increased influence of the right agenda

•Contraction to

Sectoral policies

•Human resources/training



2 major approaches (Usually a mix): Departmental (sectoral) structure Assume rural issues will be addressed Establish 'rural lens' Regional structure (zones) Assume rural and departmental issues will be addressed Establish 'rural lens'

- 2 major approaches (Usually a mix):
- •Departmental structure
 - •Assume rural issues will be addressed
 - •Establish rural lens (for each dept. or cross-dept. organization)
- •Regional structure (zones)
 - •Assume rural and departmental issues will be addressed
 - •Establish rural lens (for each dept. or cross-dept. organization)
 - •In one case (QC), part of regional mandate is to act as watchdog (rural lens) on provincial policies and actions



Policy Patterns

- •Economic focus
 - Resource trade
 - •Business development
- Environment focus
 - •Management of resources
- Social/Cultural focus
 - •Human capital development
- Political focus
 - •Few transfer assets
 - •Few transfer control
 - •Language of 'Partnerships'

Most are commodity trade focused

- Fish, forestry in the east
- Agriculture in centre and prairies
- Forestry in BC and north
- Only QC and SK have rural focus

& Remer (10/31/2021)11

Pressures on Policy - Competition Policy Responses Protect producers Enhance production Add value Expand markets

Pressures on Policy

- Competition
- Policy Responses
 - Protect producers
 - •Some would say not enough
 - Enhance production
 - •Add value
 - •Expand markets

•Multifunctionality arguments considered

- •Primarily as negotiating possibility
- •Not as commitment to description of situation

Pressures on Policy – Local Challenges to Resource Control

- Policy responses
 - Aboriginal land claims negotiation
 - Community-based resource management

M Remer (10/31/2021) 13

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Pressures on Policy - Community Decline

- •Employment training
 - •People move to jobs
- Services delivery
 - •Health
 - •Water (some major crises)
 - •Not much on education

Pressures on Policy – Special Case Québec Cultural, regional focus

- Urbanization threat
- Limited mobility

• Result:

- Regional-multifaceted approach
- Greater regional power

Bill Remer (10/31/2021)15



- •Thank you
- •Invite you to find out more about our work
- web site is best way
- •We are open to collaboration proposals of all sorts