

## Initiative on the New Economy

Communication Tools and Resources in Rural Canada: A Report for Lot 16, Prince Edward Island

David Bruce, Lindsay Lyghtle and Carla Stevens Rural and Small Town Programme, Mount Allison University Sackville, New Brunswick



A project of the Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation Un projet de Fondation Canadienne sur la Restructuration Rurale

January 2004

## Table of Contents

Highlights iii
Initiative on the New Economy- About the Project iv
About the Communications Inventory v
Availability vi
Communication Theme Group Contacts vii
Site Description – Lot 16, PE
The Importance of Communication in Rural Communities
Communication Metaphors
Communication in Action
Communication Tools and Resources Analysis
Internet Service
Public Internet Access
Newspapers
Newsletters
Bulletin Boards
Meeting Spaces and Community Events
Potential Communication Opportunities
Communications Theme Current and Upcoming Projects
Sources of Interest

## **Highlights**

This report provides a comparison of the presence of various communication tools and resources in Lot 16, Prince Edward Island with those present in the other research sites of the New Rural Economy Project across Canada.

Internet service is somewhat limited for residents of Lot 16. Because of the small and dispersed population there is no provision of broadband service. Residents must use a modem to dial-up to their Internet connection. There is only one Internet service provider for the community.

There is no public Internet access site in the community - the nearest is located in Wellington, and there is also public access in Summerside.

Lot 16 does not have a local newspaper, but there is access to many regional, provincial, and national papers in the stores in nearby Miscouche and Summerside. Most residents depend on the Journal-Pioneer for their daily news. The Western Graphic, a regional weekly serving the western portion of PEI, occasionally contains local news stories.

There are no community newsletters in Lot 16, nor do residents receive newsletters from neighbouring communities. However, some residents receive "sector" or "interest-based" newsletters, particularly from their involvement in agriculture-related organizations regionally, provincially, and nationally.

There are no bulletin boards in Lot 16 that are used for posting notices and advertisements. Instead, residents depend primarily on word of mouth, meetings of community groups, church bulletins, and community events and activities as a means to stay in touch and share important information.

The Lot 16 community hall is the focal point of the community, serving as a meeting and a social space. Most meetings and community events are held in the hall. For a small rural community, there are a relatively large number of annual community events to provide a sense of place for residents. There has also been some discussion about reviving the annual tradition of welcoming new residents to the community through a pot-luck supper event or an ice cream social event.

Lot 16 has relatively few of the more formal communication tools available in the community. Given the small size and relative closeness to Summerside, it would be difficult to muster the resources to develop them. However, with the recent discussions about forming a community development committee, there might be potential to establish a regular community newsletter as a means of helping to inform local residents on progress in the process of establishing the committee, and as a forum for sharing community news. This is especially important because there have been many new people moving into the community in recent years. The establishment of a regular community newsletter distributed to all households could be an important tool for creating a sense of community and for bringing together log time residents and newcomers.

## Initiative on the New Economy- About the Project

The **Initiative on the New Economy** (INE) project of the Canadian Rural and Revitalization Foundation (CRRF) works closely with residents, service providers, voluntary organizations, business organizations, and decision makers to identify factors that contribute to building capacity in rural and small town places across Canada.

Capacity is the ability of people residing in a place to mobilize their assets and resources to cope with stress and transition, or to capitalize on opportunities. Such capacity is built from trust and relationships grounded in institutions, organizations, businesses, and services alike. The **Initiative on the New Economy** (INE) project is built on four key themes to explore capacity including *local governance, communications, services, and the environment.* This report explores the relationship between communications and capacity.

The Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation (CRRF) has been conducting research in 32 rural and small town sites from across Canada since 1997. These sites form a type of "rural observatory" in which aspects of the **Initiative on the New Economy** (INE) project may be examined. The sites participating in this project reflect the diversity of the Canadian landscape, and include forestry and mining towns, farming communities, and tourism towns. Furthermore, some of these places are located adjacent to metropolitan areas, while others are more isolated.

A map showing the location of the communities is attached to the end of this report. Five dimensions relevant for rural communities were used in selecting the sites:

- the extent of exposure to the global economy;
- the relative stability of the local economy;
- the adjacency to large metropolitan centres;
- the level of social and institutional infrastructure (high or low capabilities);
   and
- the extent to which the site is lagging or leading on a number of socio-economic variables (including incomes and employment).

## **About the Communications Inventory**

In the summer of 2003, researchers visited 22 sites across Canada to collect information on the various communication resources, tools and technologies present in each community (a communication inventory).

This extensive exercise has resulted in an inventory of the various communication resources, tools, and technologies in each community, as well as information about the community's identity and images used to represent itself. This inventory will be repeated again in the summer of 2005 to determine if there have been changes in the availability of these resources.

Data were collected on the following items:

- Cable television and community programming
- Direct broadcast satellite
- Internet service provision and access
- Public Internet access (CAP sites) and usage
- Community websites
- Local, regional, provincial and national newspapers
- Community newsletters
- Community radio

- Community bulletin boards
- Interpersonal communication (gathering places, events, meetings)
- Community identifiers (symbols, slogans)

In this report we provide a comparison of the presence of various communication tools and resources in Lot 16, Prince Edward Island with those present in the other research sites across Canada. Specifically, each table includes a comparison with:

- the 22 communities across Canada;
- the 6 communities in Atlantic Canada;
- the 9 communities which have leading economic outcomes;
- the 13 communities which have lagging economic outcomes;
- the 15 communities which have high levels of capacity for taking action;
- the 7 communities which have low levels of capacity for taking action.

In terms of the rural observatory and for the purposes of comparison to other communities in it, Lot 16 has relatively leading economic outcomes (such as higher incomes and higher levels of employment) but relatively low capabilities for taking action.

## **Availability**

Copies of all site reports on the communications inventory were distributed to participating sites. Additionally, copies have been posted on the INE website (<a href="http://nre.concordia.ca">http://nre.concordia.ca</a>) and on the Communications Theme Group website (<a href="http://www.mta.ca/rstp/nre.html">http://www.mta.ca/rstp/nre.html</a>).

Copies of various presentations and working papers resulting from the information collected in the communication inventory are also available on these websites.

For further information about this report or other information about the communications inventory please contact David Bruce, Communications Theme Leader, or one of our theme team members:

David Bruce

Director, Rural and Small Town Programme, Adjunct Professor, Department of Geography Mount Allison University
315 Avard-Dixon Building, 144 Main St
Sackville NB Canada E4L 1A7
(506) 364-2395
dwbruce@mta.ca

For further information about other INE reports, please contact:

Bill Reimer
Initiative on the New Economy
Department of Sociology and Anthropology
1455 boul. de Maisonneuve O.
Concordia University
Montreal, Quebec H3G 1M8
(514) 848-2424
reimer@vax2.concordia.ca
http://nre.concordia.ca

Booklet Contributors: David Bruce, Lindsay Lyghtle, Ivan Emke and Carla Stevens

Funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council - Initiative on the New Economy.

## **Communication Theme Group Contacts**

#### **David Bruce**

Rural and Small Town Programme Mount Allison University 144 Main St. Sackville, NB E4L 1A7

Ph: 506-364-2395 Fx: 506-364-2601

Email: dwbruce@mta.ca

#### Ivan Emke

Social/Cultural Studies Sir Wilfred Grenfell College Memorial University of Newfoundland Corner Brook, NF A2H 6P9 Ph: 709-637-6200, ext. 6322

Fx: 709-639-8125

Email: iemke@swgc.mun.ca

#### **Doug Ramsey**

Department of Rural Development Brandon University 270 18<sup>th</sup> Street Brandon, MB R7A 6A9

Ph: 204-571-8514 Fx: 204-725-0364

Email: ramsey@brandonu.ca

#### Derek Wilkinson

Department of Sociology Laurentian University 935 Ramsey Lake Rd. Sudbury, ON P3E 2C6 Ph: 705-675-1151, ext. 4228

F 705 (75 4072

Fx: 705-675-4873

Email: dwilkinson@nickel.laurentian.ca

#### Anna Woodrow

Department of Sociology and Anthropology Concordia University 1455 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West Room MI-02 Montreal, QC H3G 1M8

Ph: 514-848-2171 Fx: 514-848-2322

Email: woodrow@vax2.concordia.ca

## Site Description – Lot 16, PE

Lot 16 is an unincorporated area located in western Prince Edward Island approximately 40 km from the Confederation Bridge. The nearest city, Summerside, is 10 km to the south east. Charlottetown, 91 km away, is the provincial capital and has a regional airport. Moncton International Airport is 160 km away and Halifax International Airport is 315 km away. The Lot 16 area can be reached via two routes. Secondary Highway 122 (Allen Road) off Highway 2 intersects with Lady Slipper Scenic Drive (Highway 12) which runs parallel to the Grand River and loops directly through Lot 16 around to Miscouche. The other entry point is from the north east via Highway 12 from Miscouche directly. Lady Slipper Drive (Highway 12) also extends in a loop out onto Winchester Cape where Belmont Provincial Park is located. This road is known locally as the 'Belmont Loop'.

The landscape surrounding Lot 16 is composed of primarily red soiled farmland, sparse northern evergreen forests, grasslands and sandy beaches along shorelines. Soon after the discovery of Prince Edward Island's fertile soil, the British divided the entire island into agricultural "lots". This led to a distinct linear settlement pattern across most of the island. Since that time many of the lots have amalgamated into larger townships, but Lot 16 has remained as it was initially established in the 1700s. There are no commercial areas in Lot 16 and as a result no distinct "centre" of the community. The dominant economic base in Lot 16 is agriculture and to a lesser extent fishing. Many people commute to Summerside for work as well. Most homes are located on large plots of land with few close neighbhours. The majority of homes are concentrated in the northeastern end of the site.

## The Importance of Communication in Rural Communities

Communication is an important element when we think about a community's development trajectory. It is not "just" talk; rather, effective communication can mobilize people to take action. For example, many rural communities have difficulty in getting people involved in local politics, in serving on councils, in taking an active role in the public operation of their region. However, by using the right methods of communication delivering an effective message, residents develop into citizens as they become aware of local issues and learn of opportunities for participation.

## **Communication Metaphors**

But what is communication? There are some helpful metaphors we can use to help us understand the role of communication. We can think of communication as the **glue** that holds a community together. Communication within a community can bind the people to each other. A community can "talk to itself", although this does not mean that it will find consensus on all issues. However, in order to facilitate this important process, a community needs to have access to the right mix of communication tools which allow for interaction.

We can also think of communication as **oil** that acts as a lubricant for developing community togetherness, or social cohesion. Just as patterns of trust and cooperation are important for economic trade, patterns of communication help to lubricate social relations.

Communication can also be thought of as a **web** - the lines of influence and interaction. The purpose of communication is to build and

maintain the connections of trust necessary to link members of the community. The web is the relationships that exist in the community, and the web facilitates an exchange of information. If there is no web, there can be no exchange. The web also includes the types of communication tools available in the community can influence the communications content. For example, a community television program will create a different kind of web from a school newsletter or a community website on the Internet or a bulletin board at the local post office. But they are all webs.

## **Communication in Action**

There are many ways to see communication in action. The transfer of messages or programs (the content) through the use of some type of communication medium is important. This is dependent upon the type of medium used (the local newspaper versus a website), who is sending the message, to whom, and so on. Think about how a municipal council communicates with residents.

Seeing communication as "ritual" suggests that communication is about the maintenance of a community over time. Through communication, communities are created, maintained, and transformed. Communication is a way of reminding us of who we are, of "where is here," of working out problems, of celebrating identity. Think about the ritual of reading your local newspaper every week, and how that reaffirms the images you have of your community.

The audience for communication may be internal or external. This relates to the intended receivers of the messages and

information. Sometimes we are communicating with people in our own community, and sometimes we are communicating with people in other places. The choice of communication technologies may be different for each case, and there may be different intentions (perhaps the local paper for reaching local people, and a website for reaching potential external investors).

Communication can also be inclusive or exclusive. This refers primarily to **who** participates in the communication process and the development of the content. Many forms of mass communication tend to be exclusive, as there are significant barriers to participation in the dialogue. A casual conversation at the doughnut shop, on the other hand, can be much more inclusive.

There are different levels of interaction in communication as well. At one extreme, we see many examples of one-way communication - radio, television, newspapers. Interactive communication, such as that at a public meeting, builds into the process a requirement for many to offer their interpretations and arguments.

The number of participants can also vary. Communication can be one-to-one (a chat at the water cooler), one-to-many (a municipal politician speaking to a Rotary luncheon), many-to-one (watching television at home) or many-to-many (a healthy town meeting, whether it is in person or through a webcast, where all participants shift between sender and receiver roles).

## **Communication Tools and Resources Analysis**

#### **Internet Service**

One communication tool available to communities is the Internet, which can be used for a variety of communication purposes and functions, such as e-mail communication with others outside the community, posting community or business information on websites aimed at an external audience (such as information about property for sale, festivals, etc.), or posting information for use within the community (such as copies of council minutes and agenda, community events schedules, etc.) The speed of the Internet connection available in a community can affect the kinds of information that can be posted, and transactions that can occur. In addition, having a variety of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) - the companies who sell Internet service - to choose from can help to keep the cost of access to a minimum.

Residents, businesses, and institutions in most communities have a choice of at least two ISPs when considering Internet connection. Communities with leading economic outcomes and those with high capabilities have more choice (Table 1).

**Table 1: Internet Service Provision in Communities** 

			Canada			Atlantic Sites	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Olles	
Avg # ISP's per site	2	3	2	3	1	2	1
% with Broadband	45	56	38	47	43	50	No
% with DSL	36	44	31	27	57	17	No
% with Cable	32	56	23	47	14	33	No
% with Modem	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes
% With Part of Community Excluded from Highest Speed	32	33	31	33	29	33	No

Source: NRE Communications Inventory, Summer 2003. N=22 sites.

Broadband, defined as high-capacity Internet service (capable of transferring large amounts of data including audio and video), is available to 10 of the communities (45%). Half of the Atlantic communities have broadband access, and more of the leading and high capability communities

have broadband access. This suggests that communities which could benefit from high-speed access to improve their economic situation and to take advantage of education and training opportunities over the Internet (lagging and low capacity sites), currently do not have access, placing them at a disadvantage. About one-third of the communities rely on digital switching of lines (DSL) or cable modern service. About one-third of the communities have some portion of their community excluded from access to the highest available speed of Internet service.

Internet service is somewhat limited for residents of Lot 16. Because of the small and dispersed population there is no provision of broadband service. Residents must use a modem to dial-up to their Internet connection. There is only one Internet service provider for the community.

#### **Public Internet Access**

Several years ago the federal government launched the Community Access Program (CAP) to provide funding assistance to help most rural communities develop some form of public Internet access. Over time, provinces and territories became funding and delivery partners. Most CAP sites have been located in libraries or schools, and there is a wide variety of programs, services, hours of availability, and fee structures for use, from community to community.

Most communities (82%) have a least one CAP site location, and several have more than one location in the community. However, relatively few (only 43%) of the communities with low capabilities have a CAP site within their community (Table 2). This suggests that perhaps the community was unable to get organized to apply for funding to establish a local CAP site, or that their proposal(s) were not strong enough to warrant funding. This lack of access creates a disadvantage for residents and others in the community who are unable to afford access at home, or who do not have access through school or work. It limits their choice of communication tools.

On average, most CAP sites have four computers available for public use. But CAP sites in high capability communities have an average of five computers while CAP sites in low capability communities have an average of only three computers. Another measure of access is "persons per public computer". There is some variation across different types of communities. CAP sites in both lagging and low capability communities have a smaller number of persons per public computer, suggesting that they would be able to provide greater frequency of access for interested citizens. However, when we look at average number of users per week, we see that the greatest demands on CAP site usage are found in communities with leading economic outcomes and those with higher capabilities. This suggests that more needs to be done in other communities to attract potential learners and users to make use of the communication resources offered by the CAP site.

CAP sites in Atlantic Canadian communities have a higher number of computers than the national average, a lower persons per public computer ratio, and higher number of average users per week in both the summer and winter months.

**Table 2: Public Internet Access** 

_			Canada			Atlantic Sites	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Siles	
% with Public Access	82	78	85	100	43	67	No
Avg # of Public Computers in Site	4	4	4	5	3	7	n/a
Avg # Persons per Public Computer	435	604	317	444	391	349	n/a
Avg # Users per Week, Summer	53	71	43	63	17	65	n/a
Avg # Users per Week, Winter	43	40	44	50	13	69	n/a

There is no public Internet access site in the community - the nearest is located in Wellington, and there is also public access in Summerside.

## **Newspapers**

The presence of a local newspaper (usually a weekly publication) is an important communication tool in a small community. In most cases, the entire community receives the paper in one way or another, and it therefore becomes an important tool for sharing local news, social events, results of sports and school activities, and advertising for local businesses. When a local weekly paper does not exist, communities may be dependent on other weekly newspapers as a communication tool - a column or a page about the community may appear in a neighbouring community's newspaper, for example. Without a local news avenue, it is rare that sufficient local news and information is carried in larger regional and provincial papers.

Only 27% of the communities have a local newspaper, and these are more likely to be found in communities with leading economic outcomes and with high capabilities (Table 3). In most cases these communities also have larger populations which can sustain or support the needed circulation to pay for the costs of producing the paper. Almost all communities have access to at least one regional newspaper.

Table 3: Presence of Local and Regional Newspapers

_			Canada			Atlantic	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Sites	
% with at Least One Local Paper	27	44	15	33	14	17	No
% with at Least One Regional Paper	96	89	100	93	100	100	Yes

Lot 16 does not have a local newspaper, but there is access to many regional, provincial, and national papers in the stores in nearby Miscouche and Summerside. Most residents depend on the Journal-Pioneer for their daily news. The Western Graphic, a regional weekly serving the western portion of PEI, occasionally contains local news stories.

#### **Newsletters**

Community newsletters can also play an important role as a communication tool, especially in the absence of a local newspaper. These are often produced infrequently or only a few times per year, usually by a volunteer group, and usually free of charge. Two-thirds of the communities across Canada have at least one newsletter, and these seem to be important in communities with lagging economic outcomes (Table 4). In fact, nine communities which do not have a local newspaper report having at least one community newsletter (and eight of these were communities with lagging economic outcomes). In addition, about three-quarters of communities with high capabilities report at least one community newsletter, suggesting that they have managed to find the resources and interest from within to develop a forum for sharing local news and information, often (in the case of seven high capability communities) in the absence of a community newspaper.

Some communities also benefit from the availability of community newsletters which originate in a neighbouring community but which also contain local items. This is the case for 27% of the communities, and for many of the low capability communities. This outlet thus becomes important if the resources to produce a newsletter locally are simply not present.

**Table 4: Presence of Community Newsletters** 

			Canada			Atlantic	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Sites	
% with at Least One Newsletter	68	56	68	73	57	50	No
% with Newsletters From Other Communities	27	22	31	13	57	33	No

There are no community newsletters in Lot 16, nor do residents receive newsletters from neighbouring communities. However, some residents receive "sector" or "interest-based" newsletters, particularly from their involvement in agriculture-related organizations regionally, provincially, and nationally. With the recent discussions about forming a community development committee, there has been talk of establishing a regular community newsletter as a means of helping to inform local residents on progress in the process of establishing the committee, and as a forum for sharing community news.

#### **Bulletin Boards**

Bulletin boards located throughout the community are also an important communication tool. They serve as an option for reaching people with short quick notices in relatively high traffic areas, such as in post offices, grocery and convenience stores, libraries and other locally appropriate locations. They are typically populated with notices about coming events, or have business cards from local businesses or notices about items in the "informal economy" (babysitting, items for sale, etc.). These bulletin boards are especially important communication tools when other tools (such as local newspapers) are not available in the community.

Communities have an average of six bulletin boards, and the average is higher in Atlantic communities, and in communities with lagging economic outcomes (these communities generally have fewer communication tools at their disposal) and in high capability communities (Table 5). Commercial (business) postings, community event postings, business postings, and informal economy postings, are much more common, and more prevalent in Atlantic communities. Commercial postings and community event postings are much higher in sites with lagging economic outcomes.

**Table 5: Bulletin Boards and their Contents** 

_			Canada			Atlantic	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Sites	
Avg # Community Bulletin Boards	6	5	7	7	6	8	0
Avg # Commercial Postings	35	23	43	32	42	60	n/a
Avg # Community Event Postings	29	16	37	28	32	34	n/a
Avg # Informal Economy Postings	24	23	25	25	21	41	n/a
Avg # Personal Postings	5	7	3	5	4	6	n/a
Avg # Voluntary Sector Postings	4	3	4	4	3	6	n/a
Avg # Fund Raiser Postings	3	2	3	3	3	6	n/a
Avg # Church Postings	2	1	2	2	1	5	n/a

Note: Avg # Postings refers to the total number of postings (of that type) on all bulletin boards in the community.

There are no bulletin boards in Lot 16 that are used for posting notices and advertisements. Instead, residents depend primarily on word of mouth, meetings of community groups, church bulletins, and community events and activities as a means to stay in touch and share important information.

## **Meeting Spaces and Community Events**

Places where people can gather to meet and talk formally and informally, and to celebrate the life of the community and its citizens and organizations are extremely important as a communication tool. Without places for people to gather, there are limited opportunities for sharing. These may be places which are used for other purposes (such as restaurants, bars, the post office) but where

people communicate with one another while doing something else. These may also be more formalized places like community or church halls, which are booked and reserved for planned meetings or events. These places may also be outdoor spaces which by their design or location facilitate communication.

Equally important are community events, which ritualize the life of the community and offer an opportunity for the community to present images of itself - an annual festival, an annual parade, an annual church supper, etc. These provide ways for the community to express who and what they are. By extension, the act of celebrating provides a venue for participants from the community to interact with one another and with visitors.

Most communities have a variety of formal and informal meeting spaces - on average there appears to be slightly more places to meet in Atlantic communities, in communities with lagging economic outcomes, and in communities with high capabilities (Table 6). On average, communities have six annual events, with those in lagging and low capability communities reporting a slightly higher number of events on average.

**Table 6: Meeting Spaces and Annual Community Events** 

_			Canada			Atlantic	Lot 16
	Total	Leading Sites	Lagging Sites	High Capability Sites	Low Capability Sites	Sites	
Avg # Informal Gathering Places	3	3	3	4	2	4	1
Avg # Outdoor Gathering Places	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Avg # Halls for Public Meetings	4	2	5	4	3	6	1
Avg # Halls for Social Events	3	2	4	4	2	5	0
Avg # Annual Events	6	6	7	5	9	6	6

Source: NRE Communications Inventory, Summer 2003. N=22 sites.

The Lot 16 community hall is the focal point of the community, serving as a meeting and a social space. Most meetings and community events are held in the hall. For a small rural community, there are a relatively large number of annual community events to provide a sense of place for residents. There has also been some discussion about reviving the annual tradition of welcoming

new residents to the community through a pot-luck supper event or an ice cream social event.

## **Potential Communication Opportunities**

Lot 16 has relatively few of the more formal communication tools available in the community. Given the small size and relative closeness to Summerside, it would be difficult to muster the resources to develop them. However, with the recent discussions about forming a community development committee, there has been talk of establishing a regular community newsletter as a means of helping to inform local residents on progress in the process of establishing the committee, and as a forum for sharing community news.

This is especially important because there have been many new people moving into the community in recent years. Many are not yet "part of the community", who are not active in the churches and community groups, and who do not have the extended family member ties in the area, which provide important informal sharing of news and information for people. The establishment of a regular community newsletter distributed to all households could be an important tool for creating a sense of community and for bringing together log time residents and newcomers.

The key questions that everyone in Lot 16 should be asking of themselves, and of the organizations they participate in, include:

- Are we making maximum use of all of the communication tools and resources available to us?
- Are we effective in developing the content of our messages?
- Are we reaching the right people with our message, or should we look at different communication tools and strategies?
- How can we maximize the opportunities available to us not just from new communication technologies (the Internet), but also from the informal gathering of people throughout the town?
- Are there opportunities to use new forms of communication in our community, such as establishing a community radio station?

There are many opportunities to enhance our communication practices. By assessing your answers to these questions, perhaps new ideas and solutions will become apparent, and provide improved economic and social outcomes for Lot 16.

## **Communications Theme Current and Upcoming Projects**

Our theme team has a number of ongoing and upcoming projects that might be of interest to you. Please contact the project leaders identified below if you are interested in participating in some way.

## **Technology-Mediated Learning Project**

This interactive learning project is designed to link people and organizations from across the active sites in a participatory learning activity on a wide range of rural and new economy issues. This includes web board discussions. chat rooms, video seminars, etc., on a regularly scheduled basis. A wide range of participants are involved from each site, including elected officials, municipal administration staff, environment groups, tourism groups, newspaper editors, economic development officers, volunteer groups, business organizations, and citizens. Our objective is to build the capacity of rural communities to use various forms of communication technology to learn from one another and to develop and implement new ideas. This project started in the summer of 2003 and runs to early 2006.

#### Contact:

David Bruce 506-364-2395
<a href="mailto:dwbruce@mta.ca">dwbruce@mta.ca</a>
Anna Woodrow 514-848-2424 ext 2323
<a href="mailto:woodrow@vax2.concordia.ca">woodrow@vax2.concordia.ca</a>

# **Communication Strategies and Media Projects**

How do organizations communicate with one another in the community? How does local government communicate with the community? In this project we assess how these are done, and are working with four communities to launch innovative communication events. The media projects include things like virtual town hall meetings and special events broadcasts. Other media events and media use training are included. This project started in early 2004 and will finish in early 2006.

#### Contact:

Ivan Emke 709-637-6200 ext 6322 iemke@swgc.mun.ca Doug Ramsey 204-571-8514 ramsey@brandonu.ca

## **Traditional Media in the New Economy**

This project looks at the role of and use of community newspapers, radio, cable television, and much more. Focussing on six communities, this involves case study analysis and project development with local media outlets. A key focus is the "institutional evolution" of these media outlets, and how they are coping in the new economy. Also, some of the issues include how they deal with new economy content issues, the capacity to facilitate discussions about the new economy, and sources of information. This project started in 2003 and will finish in mid-2005.

### Contact:

Doug Ramsey 204-571-8514
<a href="mailto:ramsey@brandonu.ca">ramsey@brandonu.ca</a>
Anna Woodrow 514-848-2424 ext 2323
<a href="mailto:woodrow@vax2.concordia.ca">woodrow@vax2.concordia.ca</a>

#### Use of the Internet in Rural Households

The purpose of this project is to examine Internet use among rural households in the active NRE research study sites. It will be an Internet-based survey across all 22 communities and will examine a variety of issues, including: What do people use e-mail

and the internet for? How many and which rural households use the Internet? How many and which adults in those households use the Internet? For how long have the being using the Internet? Where do they use the Internet? And much more. This project began in early 2004.

Contact:
Derek Wilkinson 705-675-1151
<a href="mailto:derek@nickel.laurentian.ca">derek@nickel.laurentian.ca</a>
David Bruce 506-364-2395
<a href="mailto:dwbruce@mta.ca">dwbruce@mta.ca</a>

## **Sources of Interest**

#### **Books**

Bruce, D. and G. Lister. 2003. **Opportunities and Actions in the New Rural Economy**. Sackville NB: Rural and Small Town Programme, Mount Allison University.

Ilbery, B. 1998. The Geography of Rural Change. Essex: Longman Ltd.

Halseth, G. and R. Halseth. 2004. **Building for Success**. Brandon, Manitoba: Rural Development Institute and Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation.

McLaren, L. 2002. *Information and Communication Technologies in Rural Canada*. **Rural and Small Town Canada Analysis Bulletin**. Vol. 3. No. 5. http://www.statcan.ca/english/freepub/21-006-XIE/free.htm.

Pierce, J. and A. Dale. 1999. **Communities, Development, and Sustainability Across Canada**. Vancouver: UBC Press.

V. Singh. 2004. Factors Associated with Household Internet Use. Rural and Small Town Canada Analysis Bulletin. Vol. 5 No. 1. http://www.statcan.ca/english/freepub/21-006-XIE/free.htm.

#### **CRRF** Reports

These reports are accessible through the project website of the Initiative on the New Economy: nre.concordia.ca.

Bruce, D. 2003. *Connecting to the Connecting Canadians Agenda: Rural Internet Use for Government Information*. Sackville NB: Rural and Small Town Programme. Draft Working Paper: www.mta.ca/rstp.

Bruce, D. and L. Lyghtle. 2003. *Internet Use for Health Information Among Rural Canadians*. Sackville NB: Rural and Small Town Programme. Draft Working Paper: <a href="www.mta.ca/rstp">www.mta.ca/rstp</a>.

Bruce, D. 2001. *The Role of Small Businesses and Cooperative Businesses in Community Economic Development*. IWG Final Report. Canadian Rural Revitalization Foundation.

Emke, I. 2001. *Community Newspapers and Community Identity*. Québec City: Canadian Sociology and Anthropology Association Annual Meetings. Unpublished presentation.

Reimer, B. 1999. *Voluntary Organizations in Rural Canada: Final Report*. Montréal: Canadian Rural Restructuring Foundation, Concordia University.

#### **Internet Sources**

Industry Canada - Broadband. www.broadband.gc.ca.

New Rural Economy. www.nre.concordia.ca.

Statistics Canada. www.statcan.ca.