Abstract

This research:

- Establishes a link between organizational learning (OL) theory and practices;
- Reviews 5 prominent OL theories and models;
- Identifies 13 OL principles;
- Proposes a list of 87 validated OL practices;

• Validates identified practices a second time through interviews with 16 practitioners from international development organizations, which are widely recognized for their leading edge in OL.

Main Results

• Groups of practices identified in the literature are very largely present in the observed organizations;

• Groups of practices identified in the literature are very largely perceived as contributions to OL in principle;

• Groups of practices identified in the literature are largely perceived as contributions to OL in practice;

• Practitioners validate 86% (75 out of 87) of the specific practices identified in empirical literature.



OL Practices Validation Through Interviews Accountability Access t informatio **Results-based** management Horizonta managemer Leadership Hierarch Team work

How do I read this chart? Ex.: 94% of interviewees think OL practices related to accountability contribute to OL in principle. 56% do so in practice.

- Link between theory and practices established;

- Way opened for further research.

• 75% of participants consider OL is useful only if it brings higher individual or collective performance;

• Although no question about politics was asked, 75% of interviewees mentioned politics as a major, generally negative influence on the implementation of OL;

• Only 31% of OL practices in the considered organizations are both systematic and formal;

• The manner an OL practice is implemented is as important to its effectiveness as the practice itself.





How do I read this chart? Ex.: 100% of interviewees think OL practices related to incentives contribute to OL in principle. 87,5% do so in practice.



Introduction

Objectives of the research:

- To establish a link between organizational learning (OL) theory and practices through a list of theoretically and empirically validated OL practices;
- To determine the extent to which these practices are implemented and considered as effective contributions to OL.
- Achievements of the research:
- List of practices validated through a literature review;
- List of practices validated through interviews;
- Perception of practices' effectiveness measured;

Other Results

OL Practices Validation Through Interviews





Guillaume Pain, under the supervision of Pr. Jacques Barrette, Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa Poster Presented At The First Annual Graduate Research Exposition - November 12, 2009 - John Molson School of Business, Concordia University © Guillaume Pain, Montreal, Canada, 2009

Making Organizational Learning Real

Methodology

Conclusion - Discussion

• Groups of practices identified in the literature are very largely present in the observed organizations; • Groups of practices identified in the literature are very largely perceived as contributions to OL in principle; • Groups of practices identified in the literature are largely perceived as contributions to OL in practice; • Practitioners validate 86% (75 out of 87) of the specific practices identified in empirical literature. • There is a contradiction between the time and resources constraints, and the implementation of OL practices; • In practice, OL is justified only if it serves performance; • One can then ask whether there is a threshold beyond which OL is detrimental to performance; • Is it possible to situate this threshold and precisely determine an optimal OL level?



Operational Definition of OL

generated by **proactive practices**, through cognitive changes and modifies the scope of the organization's **potential behaviours**.

Why Nancy Dixon's Model?

Because of all the theoretical models reviewed, hers showed (1) a higher adequation with the selected operational definition; (2) an organizational perspective (as opposed to psychological or sociological); (3) a better applicability within the framework of this research.

Composition of the sample: 16 managers in the fields of policy, planning, programs, evaluation, and knowledge management.

Organizations: Canadian International Development Agency; World Bank; Danish International Development Assistance; Global Environment Facility; United Nations Development Programme; Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency.